

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1276/2015

ROHTAS SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Manika Tripathy Pandey, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Sumi Anand, Advocate.

SI Deepak, P.S.Samai Pur Badli.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

% **03.08.2015**

The prayer of the petitioner to be released on parole was rejected by the competent authority vide order dated 28.4.2015.

The petitioner is required to file SLP against the judgment and order of conviction.

The order impugned discloses that there is an adverse police report that the law and order and security in the area would be disturbed in case the petitioner is released on parole.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that such ground is illusory as there is no material to justify such an opinion of the police.

A reference is made to the nominal roll wherein it is shown that the petitioner was granted interim bail from 6.8.2001 to 22.8.2001 for 15 days and nothing untowards was reported. The petitioner was also released on regular bail for a very long time. Nominal roll discloses the conduct of the

petitioner to be satisfactory.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is required to file SLP against the judgment and order of conviction, let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of both the sureties to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

Dasti.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 03, 2015/k