

I- 58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Decision: May 25, 2015

+ CRL.M.C. 2267/2015 & Crl. M.A.Nos.7983-84/2015

IFCI FACTORS LTD. Petitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Bisht, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Karan Singh, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

JUDGMENT
(ORAL)

%

Vide impugned order, petitioner's complaint under Section 138 of *The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881* has been returned on the ground of lack of territorial jurisdiction while relying upon Apex Court's decision in *Dashrath Rupsingh Rathor v. State of Maharashtra* (2014) 9 SCC 129.

At the hearing, learned counsel for petitioner assailed the impugned order and submitted that the cheques in question were 'payable at par' at all branches of Axis Bank Ltd. and so, return of complaint in question is bad in law.

Upon hearing and on perusal of the impugned order, material on record and the decisions cited, I find that the question raised in this petition already stands answered by this Court in Crl. M.C.No.700/2012

Neerav J Shah & anr. Vs. State & anr., decided on 23rd March, 2015.

In view of above, finding no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order, this petition and applications are dismissed.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

MAY 25, 2015

r