

\$~8,15,16,18,21,24,26,27,29,31,33,35,39,44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

- + LA.APP. 232/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9339-40/2015
- + LA.APP. 239/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9358-59/2015
- + LA.APP. 240/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9362-63/2015
- + LA.APP. 242/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9368-69/2015
- + LA.APP. 245/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9376-77/2015
- + LA.APP. 249/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9386-87/2015
- + LA.APP. 251/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9392-93/2015
- + LA.APP. 252/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9395-96/2015
- + LA.APP. 254/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9401-02/2015
- + LA.APP. 256/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9407-08/2015 & 9410/15
- + LA.APP. 258/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9419-20/2015
- + LA.APP. 260/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9646/2015
- + LA.APP. 264/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9668-69/2015
- + LA.APP. 269/2015 and CM Appln. Nos. 9698/2015

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Jaswant Singh, Advocate

versus

KANTA DEVI & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate

3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI


ORDER

30.10.2015

%

The deficiency in court fees in these matters have not been made up. Learned counsel for the appellant states that after the filing of the present appeals, the judgment of this Court in the case of *Jai Singh Vs. Union of India* in LA.APP 266/2008 dt. 23.08.2011 has been affirmed by the Supreme Court, as the SLP preferred by the Union of India has since been dismissed. He, therefore, submits that the appellant does not wish to press these appeals and for this reason, the deficient court fee has not been made up.

In the aforesaid circumstances, the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution.


VIPIN SANGHI, J

OCTOBER 30, 2015

sl