

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 4617/2015 and CM Nos. 8359/2015 and 8361/2015 and CM
No.8778/2015

VINITA TYAGI

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms S. Janani and Mr Deepak Goel and
Mr Sunando Rana, Advs.

versus

UPRAS VIDYALAYA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Mukesh Kher, Advocate for
respondent No.1

Mr Anuj Aggarwal, Additional Standing Counsel
for GNCTD

Ms Niti Jain, Advocate for respondent No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

%

29.05.2015

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that the complainant, Ms. Neena Kulshreshtha was a member of *DAS* and issued the chargesheet to the petitioner and this vitiates the entire proceedings.

Learned counsel for the respondent makes a submission that the respondent be permitted to formulate a fresh *DAS* in terms of Rule 118 of the Delhi School Education Act & Rules, 1973 and start the enquiry afresh.

In view of the these submissions, the present writ petition has

been disposed of in the terms that the respondent can formulate the fresh DAS in terms of Rule 118 of the Delhi School Education Act & Rules, 1973.

DEEPA SHARMA, J

MAY 29, 2015

sapna