

\$~
*
38
+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

O.M.P. 1179/2014

PLUS CORPORATE VENTURES PVT LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

versus

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

With

39
+

O.M.P. 1180/2014

YUTHIKA COMMERCIAL PVT. LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

versus

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

With

40
+

O.M.P. 1181/2014

MARUBHUMI DEALER PVT. LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

versus

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocates.

With

41
+

O.M.P. 1182/2014

KALYANI BARTER PVT. LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

versus

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

With

42
+

O.M.P. 1183/2014

PRANIDHI COMMERCE PVT. LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

versus

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

With

45
+

O.M.P. 1451/2014

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

10

versus

KALYANI BARTER PVT. LTD & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

With

46

+

O.M.P. 1452/2014

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

versus

YUTHIKA COMMERCIAL PVT. LTD. & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

With

47

+

O.M.P. 1454/2014

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

versus

MADHUBHUMI DEALER PVT. LTD. & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

With

48

+

O.M.P. 1456/2014

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

versus

PRANIDHI COMMERCE PVT. LTD. & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

And

49
+

O.M.P. 1457/2014

RELIGARE FINVEST LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ruchir Midha, Advocate.

versus

PLUS CORPORATE VENUTRES PVT. LTD.
& ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Anil Airi with Ms. Shryti Agarwal,
Advocates.

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

ORDER
% **13.01.2015**

1. These are petition under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act,
1996 ('Act') in which the interim orders have been passed from time to

12

time. The affidavits have also been filed by the parties giving certain undertakings. The applications for appointment of the arbitral Tribunal under Section 11 of the Act are listed on 20th January 2015.

2. In the circumstances, the Court does not see any reason why these petitions should be kept pending.

3. The Court directs that the interim orders passed in the present petitions, including undertakings given by the parties by way of affidavits, will continue during the pendency of the arbitration proceedings subject to any orders that may be passed by way of modification and/or clarification by the arbitral Tribunal in an application that may be filed by any of the parties under Section 17 of the Act.

4. It will be open to the parties to rely on the pleadings in these petitions before the arbitral Tribunal.

5. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms. Order be given *dasti*.



S. MURALIDHAR, J

JANUARY 13, 2015

Rk

OMP No. 1179 of 2014