

3
\$~1-10 & 39.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

- + CRL.L.P. 126/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3739-41/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 127/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3752-54/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 128/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3755-57/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 129/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3758-60/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 130/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3761-63/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 131/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3764-66/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 132/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3767-69/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 134/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3773-75/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 135/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3776-78/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 136/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3779-81/2015
- + CRL.L.P. 133/2015 and Crl.M.A. Nos.3770-72/2015

RELIGARE FINEST LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

THE STATE & ORS

..... Respondents.

Through: Mr. Rajat Katyal, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

%

28.04.2015

Despite pass-over, none has appeared for the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing these petitions as it was informed to the Court on the last date that a fresh set of cheques has been issued by the respondent accused after passing of the impugned order by the learned Magistrate.

Consequently, the petitions are dismissed in default.



VIPIN SANGHI, J

APRIL 28, 2015

B.S. Rohella