

\$-15, 16, 17 & 18

12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 248/2015 & CM No. 8233/2015**

MS GEETA ANAND

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Dayan Krishnan, Sr. Advocate with Ms
Jasleen Oberoi, Ms Surbhi Mehta, Mr S. Divedi
and Mr Deepto Guha, Advocates

versus

M/S INDIABULLS REAL ESTATE LTD & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Rajiv Nayar, Sr. Advocate with Mr Rishi
Agarwala, Mr Anuj Malhotra, Mr Karan Luthra,
Mr Avishkar Singhvi, Advocates for R-1
Mr Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with Mr
Sulabh Rewari, Advocate for R-2

+ **FAO(OS) 249/2015 & CM No. 8254/2015**

GEETA ANAND

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Dayan Krishnan, Sr. Advocate with Ms
Jasleen Oberoi, Ms Surbhi Mehta, Mr S. Divedi
and Mr Deepto Guha, Advocates

versus

M/S INDIABULLS HOUSING FINANCE LTD & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr Rajat Jain, Advocate for R-1
Mr Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with Mr
Sulabh Rewari, Advocate for R-5

+ **FAO(OS) 327/2015 & CM Nos. 11312/2015 and 11317/2015**

DOW JONES & COMPANY

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with Mr
Sulabh Rewari, Advocate

versus

M/S INDIABULLS HOUSING FINANCE LTD & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Rajat Jain, Advocate for R-1

13
Mr Dayan Krishnan, Sr. Advocate with Ms
Jasleen Oberoi, Ms Surbhi Mehta, Mr S. Divedi
and Mr Deepto Guha, Advocates for R-25

+ FAO(OS) 329/2015 & CM Nos. 11320/2015 and 11325/2015

DOW JONES & COMPANY

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with Mr
Sulabh Rewari, Advocate

versus

M/S INDIABULLS REAL ESTATE LTD & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Rajiv Nayar, Sr. Advocate with Mr Rishi
Agarwala, Mr Anuj Malhotra, Mr Karan Luthra,
Mr Avishkar Singhvi, Advocates for R-1
Mr Dayan Krishnan, Sr. Advocate with Ms
Jasleen Oberoi, Ms Surbhi Mehta, Mr S. Divedi
and Mr Deepto Guha, Advocates for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

07.09.2015

We have heard the counsel for the parties.


The counsel are agreed that the order dated 16.04.2015 passed by the learned Single Judge be modified to the extent that the appellants would be free to publish the article subject to their complying with the norms of journalistic conduct as issued by the Press Trust of India (2010 Edition) and, particularly with regard to paragraphs nos. 12, 14, 15 and 26 thereof. A questionnaire has been sent by Ms Geeta Anand to respondent no. 1 in these appeals through e-mails dated 14.04.2015 and 27.07.2015. It has been agreed that the respondent no. 1 in these appeals shall give the response to Ms Geeta Anand on or before 10 a.m. (IST) on 14.09.2015.

In view of the above order, the appeals stand disposed of.

The learned counsel for the parties may move appropriate applications for disposal of the suit with regard to the appellants herein before the learned Single Judge.

Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.


BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J


SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

SEPTEMBER 07, 2015

rs