

6
\$~9 & 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ (i) **BAIL APPLN. 2341/2014**

MAYA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manu Sharma and Mr. Abhir
Datt, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Vinod Diwakar, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State
Mr. Raj Pal Kasana, Advocate for
complainant

+ (ii) **BAIL APPLN. 2353/2014**

SUDESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manu Sharma and Mr. Abhir
Datt, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Parveen Bhati, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State
Mr. Raj Pal Kasana, Advocate for
complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

29.04.2015

%

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, both these

7

applications are being heard together and by this common order, they are being disposed of.

Petitioners are the husband and mother-in-law of the complainant/first-informant of the FIR in question who seek pre-arrest bail in FIR No.289/2014 under Sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC registered at P.S. Jaitpur, Delhi while claiming to be innocent.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioners have already joined the investigation of this case and the mediation has failed, but without prejudice to the rights of petitioners, an FDR for rupees two lac in the name of trial court would be deposited with the trial court within a period of two weeks from today. The factum of joining the investigation of this case by petitioners is not disputed by learned Additional Public Prosecutor for State.

Without commenting upon merits of this case, it is directed that in the event of arrest, petitioners-*Maya Devi* and *Sudesh Kumar* be admitted to bail, subject to their furnishing bail bond in the sum of ₹20,000/- each with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer.

Both these applications are accordingly disposed of.

Dasti.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

APRIL 29, 2015

S