

3
\$~
*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(OS) 67/2012 & IA No.496/2012

PARVINDER SINGH JUNEJA Plaintiff
Through : Mr. Dattatray Vyas, Proxy Counsel
with plaintiff in person

versus

MAKHAN SINGH Defendant
Through : Mr.Jagjit Singh Dahiya, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

ORDER
% 21.12.2015

1. The present suit has been instituted by the plaintiff against his uncle(father's brother) in respect of the suit premises situated in Village Uldhanpur, Shahdara, Delhi.
2. When the case was taken up on the first call, the plaintiff had appeared in person with learned proxy counsel and had sought leave to withdraw the present suit on the ground that he had arrived at a settlement with the defendant. At that time, proxy counsel appearing for counsel for the plaintiff had stated that he was unable to identify the plaintiff. On the second call, the plaintiff produces his driving licence with a copy thereof duly endorsed by him as true copy, which is taken on record.
3. Proxy counsel appearing for the counsel for the plaintiff states

that the arguing counsel had to suddenly leave for out of town due to which he is unavailable today.

4. In view of the fact that the plaintiff states that he has arrived at a settlement with the defendant and does not wish to pursue the suit any further and a similar statement was made by the counsel for the plaintiff when he had appeared before the Joint Registrar on 19.11.2015, the present suit is dismissed as withdrawn, along with pending application.

DECEMBER 21, 2015
mk

HIMA KOHLI, J