

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9018/2011**

VINOD MALHOTRA

..... Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Anju Bhattacharya and Mr.
Ashwal Vadera**, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Vivek Goyal**, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

10.02.2016

Review Petition No.72/2016 & CM No.4919/2016 (for stay)

1. Not only no ground for review is made out but I may mention that this writ petition was heard at length on 3rd November, 2015, when the senior counsel then appearing for the petitioner had sought adjournment to answer the query raised. Thereafter, when the matter came up, a new counsel appeared and argued. This review petition is filed by yet another counsel.
2. With the change in counsel, there can be no re-hearing.
3. Dismissed.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

FEBRUARY 10, 2016

4. The counsel for appellant/review applicant has subsequently mentioned the matter.

5. He states that the appellant/review applicant will be filing the suit, as permitted while dismissing the writ petition and the time granted till 15th February, 2016 for filing thereof be extended by one week.
6. Allowed.
7. The time till 15th February, 2016 mentioned in judgment dated 7th December, 2015 be read as till 23rd February, 2016.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

FEBRUARY 10, 2016

bs