

\$~24 to 27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1383/2015 & Crl. M.A.Nos.5080-5081/2015**

IFCI FACTORS LIMITED Petitioner
Through: Mr. M.S. Vinaik, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate

+ **CRL.M.C. 1384/2015 & Crl. M.A.Nos.5099-6000/2015**

IFCI FACTORS LIMITED Petitioner
Through: Mr. M.S. Vinaik, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate

+ **CRL.M.C. 1385/2015 & Crl. M.A.Nos.5101-5102/2015**

IFCI FACTORS LIMITED Petitioner
Through: Mr. M.S. Vinaik, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate

+ **CRL.M.C. 1386/2015 & Crl. M.A.Nos.5103-5104/2015**

IFCI FACTORS LIMITED Petitioner
Through: Mr. M.S. Vinaik, Advocate

Crl.M.C.No.1383/2015
Crl.M.C.No.1384/2015
Crl.M.C.No.1385/2015
Crl.M.C.No.1386/2015

Page 1

2

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER
10.04.2015

%

In the above captioned four petitions, impugned order directs return of complaint under Section 138 of *The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881* to the court of competent jurisdiction.

Since quashing of impugned order in these petitions is sought on identical grounds, therefore, these petitioners have been heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.

At the hearing, learned counsel for petitioner submits that the cheques in question were at par and they can be presented at any locality.

The aforesaid submission has been already dealt by this Court in CrI. M.C.No.700/2012, *Neeraj J. Shah Vs. Anr.* vide order of 23rd March, 2015. Adopting the reasoning given in the aforesaid order of 23rd March, 2015, the above captioned four petitions and applications are dismissed.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

APRIL 10, 2015

r

CrI.M.C.No.1383/2015
CrI.M.C.No.1384/2015
CrI.M.C.No.1385/2015
CrI.M.C.No.1386/2015