

\$~25 & 26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ (i) CRL.M.C. 1190/2014 & CrI.M.A.3971/2014

SWARARAJ @ RAJ SHRIKANT THACKERAY Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam, Senior
Advocate, with Mr. Arunabh
Chowdhury, Mr. Sayaji D. Nangre
and Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocates

versus

THE STATE & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Praveen Bhati, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State

+ (ii) CRL.M.C. 3535/2014 & CrI.M.A.12184/2014

SWARARAJ @ RAJ SHRIKANT THACKERAY Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam, Senior
Advocate, with Mr. Arunabh
Chowdhury, Mr. Sayaji D. Nangre
and Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocates

versus

THE STATE & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Praveen Bhati, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

21.05.2015

%

Learned senior counsel for petitioners submits that the impugned complaint has been already dismissed by the trial court on 31st January,


CRL.M.Cs. 1190 & 3535 of 2014

Page 1

6

2015 and so, these petitions have become infructuous. However, a prayer is made that in the event of these complaints being revived, then liberty be granted to petitioner to challenge the impugned order.

In view of aforesaid, the above captioned two petitions and the applications are disposed of as infructuous with liberty as aforesaid.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

MAY 21, 2015

S