

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1676/2013**

Decided on: 08.01.2015

IN THE MATTER OF

RAVINDER KUMAR MITTAL

..... Plaintiff

Through: None

versus

M/S ADITYA ELECTRICALS

..... Defendant

Through: None

CORAM

HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HIMA KOHLI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present case was passed over on the first call as none was present on behalf of the plaintiff. Same is the position on the second call.

2. A perusal of the order-sheets shows that the defendant was proceeded against *ex-parte* vide order dated 28.02.2014, whereafter the plaintiff was directed to tender his *ex-parte* evidence. No steps in that regard were taken by the plaintiff. In fact, none had appeared on behalf of the plaintiff on 11.12.2014, the date fixed before the Joint Registrar for completion of evidence.

3. It appears that the plaintiff is not interested in prosecuting the present suit, which is accordingly dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.

(HIMA KOHLI)
JUDGE

JANUARY 8, 2015
rkb