

5 and 6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3747/2014 and C.M. Nos.7572/2014 & 1168-69/2015

MAHENDRA GUPTA AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Manish Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Chandni Mehra, Advocate.

versus

DVB EMPLOYEES TERMINAL FUND-2002 AND ORS Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Midha, Advocate for
respondent No.1.
Mr. S.K. Chaturvedi, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

+ W.P.(C) 3749/2014 and C.M. Nos.7576/2014 & 1170-71/2015

KULDEEP KUMAR JAIN AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Manish Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Chandni Mehra, Advocate.

versus

DVB EMPLOYEES TERMINAL FUND-2002 AND ORS Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Midha, Advocate for
respondent No.1.
Mr. S.K. Chaturvedi, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

%

21.01.2015

1. After arguments, these writ petitions are dismissed as not
pressed with liberty to file fresh writ petitions provided that in the fresh writ

W.P.(C) Nos.3747/2014 & 3749/2014

page 1 of 2

J

petitions the petitioners who have taken VRS show that in accordance with the VRS scheme in which they took VRS, the petitioners are entitled to benefit of the pension etc now claimed. The fresh writ petitions must contain the VRS scheme and also make reference to the relevant clause showing as to how VRS employees such as the petitioners who had taken VRS can get pension etc.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.


VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

JANUARY 21, 2015

Ne