

\$~9

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ CRL.REV.P. 332/2014
YOGESH ATTRAY

..... Petitioner

Through
versus

None

STATE

..... Respondent

Through

Mr Ashok Kumar Garg, Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State
Mr Nishant Rani and Mr Puneet Singh
Dhar, Advs. for complainant alongwith
complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA

ORDER

%

28.04.2016

Crl. MA 1355/2016 (restoration) and Crl. MA 1357/2016 (delay)

Despite passing over the matter twice, none is present on behalf of the petitioner.
As such, the applications are dismissed in default as well as for non-prosecution.

SUNITA GUPTA, J

APRIL 28, 2016/*rd*

At this stage, Mr Vaibhav Sharma, counsel for the petitioner appears and submits
that he wants to withdraw both the applications

The submissions of counsel for the petitioner have been noted. However, since
the applications have already been dismissed in default, no order is required to be passed.

SUNITA GUPTA, J

APRIL 28, 2016/*rd*