

19# \$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 519/2010

CHATTER SINGH RAO

..... Plaintiff

Represented by: Mr. Rakesh Tiku, Sr. Advocate,  
with Md. Azam Ansari and Mr.  
Sandeep Kumar, Advocates with  
plaintiff in person.

versus

KAMAL KUMAR

..... Defendant

Represented by: None.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER**

**12.10.2017**

%

**I.A. Nos. 11792/2017 (under Section 151 CPC), 11794/2017 (for delay) and 11793/2017 (for exemption)**

1. A perusal of the record reveals that two vakalatnamas were signed by the plaintiff in favour of Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Advocate who continuously appeared for him since January, 2011 except for one date when Ms. Priyanka appeared on 4<sup>th</sup> August, 2014. The suit was also withdrawn by Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Advocate. In view of this position, the averments of the plaintiff in the application that he never engaged Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Advocate but had engaged one Mr. Ajit Singh, Advocate does not seem to be plausible.

2. Learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks an adjournment to place on record material to show that the plaintiff was in contact and conversation only with Mr. Ajit Singh, Advocate at the relevant time and never contacted Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Advocate.

3. At request, list on 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2017.

**MUKTA GUPTA, J.**

**OCTOBER 12, 2017/‘yo’**