

4
§~31 & 32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 55/2015**

ARUN KUMAR NAYYAR Petitioner
Through: appearance not given.

versus

RAJNI BAHL Respondent
Through: Mr. Arvind Nayar and Mr. Sanad K
Jha, Advs.

+ **RC.REV. 56/2015**

ARUN KUMAR NAYYAR Petitioner
Through: appearance not given.

versus

HAZARI LAL GARG Respondent
Through: Mr. Amit S Chadha, Adv with Mr.
Deep Dhamija, Adv.

CORAM:


HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.K. SHALI

ORDER

%

24.09.2015

1. After brief submissions the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petitions and states that he is not assailing the impugned order passed by the learned ARC granting leave to defend to the respondent. However, he prays that a direction may be given to the learned ARC to expedite the matter as expeditiously as possible.
2. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, both the petitions are dismissed as not pressed.
3. It is needless to say that the learned ARC shall make every endeavour to decide the petition as expeditiously as possible keeping in view of the total pendency of the cases.


V.K. SHALI, J.

SEPTEMBER 24, 2015

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared the
digital data is as per the physical file