

| 2 ✓

\$~15 to 19

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

(i) + CRL.M.C. 582/2013 & CrI.M.A.1890/2013

GOPAL KRISHNA'BEHETI Petitioner

Through: Nemo

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Respondent

Through: Mr. Narender Mann, Special
Public Prosecutor, with Mr. Manoj
Pant and Ms. Utkarsha Kohli,
Advocates for respondent-CBI
with I.O./Dy. SP. Vipin Kumar

(ii) + CRL.M.C. 745/2013 & CrI.M.A.2432/2013

GOPAL KRISHNA BEHETI Petitioner

Through: Nemo

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Respondent

Through: Mr. Narender Mann, Special
Public Prosecutor, with Mr. Manoj
Pant and Ms. Utkarsha Kohli,
Advocates for respondent-CBI
with I.O./Dy. SP. Vipin Kumar

(iii) + CRL.M.C. 1133/2013 & CrI.M.A.3472/2013

RAJENDRA KHATIR Petitioner

Through: Nemo

versus

C.B.I. Respondent

CRL.M.Cs. 582, 745, 1133, 1143 & 1144 of 2013

Page 1

13

Through: Mr. Narender Mann, Special
Public Prosecutor, with Mr. Manoj
Pant and Ms. Utkarsha Kohli,
Advocates for respondent-CBI
with I.O./Dy. SP. Vipin Kumar

(iv) + CRL.M.C. 1143/2013 & Crl.M.A.3514/2013

NITIN BOLIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Nemo

versus

C.B.I.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Narender Mann, Special
Public Prosecutor, with Mr. Manoj
Pant and Ms. Utkarsha Kohli,
Advocates for respondent-CBI
with I.O./Dy. SP. Vipin Kumar

(v) + CRL.M.C. 1144/2013 & Crl.M.A.3516/2013

SIDIK HUSSAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Nemo

versus

C.B.I.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Narender Mann, Special
Public Prosecutor, with Mr. Manoj
Pant and Ms. Utkarsha Kohli,
Advocates for respondent-CBI
with I.O./Dy. SP. Vipin Kumar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

06.08.2015

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-CBI submits
that these five petitions arise out of one RC and therefore, these petitions

7

(14)

were taken up for hearing together and by this common order, they are being disposed of.

The order impugned in these petitions is the order directing framing of charge against petitioners.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-CBI further submits on instructions that the trial in the RC in question is at the fag end as the Investigating Officer of this case is under cross-examination and the next date before the trial court is 14th August, 2015.

None appears on behalf of petitioners even on the second call. When this matter was taken up for hearing on 20th February, 2015, none had appeared on behalf of petitioners. It appears that petitioners are no longer interested in pursuing these petitions.

Accordingly, these five petitions and the applications are dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

AUGUST 06, 2015

S