

11
\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 22/2014**

USHA RANI

..... Appellant

Through Mr. Jai Bansal, Advocate alongwith
Appellant in person with her son

versus

SATISH CHANDER SINGHAL

..... Respondent

Through Ms. Reena Jain Malhotra, Advocate
alongwith Respondent in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAILASH GAMBHIR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

% **26.02.2015**

On the last date direction was given for the personal presence of both the parties in this matter. Today, both the parties are present alongwith their respective counsel.

Respondent present in court submits that he concedes to the present appeal filed by his wife- Usha Rani in the light of the decision taken by him to live with his wife, the appellant herein, as a husband.

Let statements of both the parties be recorded.

In the light of the statements made by both the parties, the impugned judgment and decree dated 26.11.2013 passed by the learned Judge, Family Courts, Dwarka, New Delhi is hereby set aside.

So far as the complaint filed by the appellant- Usha Rani under the Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (*hereinafter referred to as the 'DV Act'*) is concerned, she may continue with the same apropos her claim regarding the amount of the maintenance.

During the course of hearing, Sh. Rahul Singhal is also present in Court with his mother. He is not agreeable to bring to an end to the case filed by his mother under the DV Act and he also supports his mother in continuing the said DV Act proceedings. The Court does not appreciate the stand of the appellant- Usha Rani and her son- Rahul Singhal on this aspect.

Both the parties also submit that they shall remain bound by the terms of the said statements made by them.


KAILASH GAMBHIR, J.


I.S. MEHTA, J.

2
FEBRUARY 26, 2015

v