

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 579/2015 and CM No. 981/2015

M/S. PRAGATI ENGINEERS Petitioner

Through: Mr Satyajit Patra

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr Kirtiman Singh and Mr Gyanesh
Bhardwaj for UOI
Mr Sachin Datta and Mr Manikya Khanna
for R-2 with Mr J.K. Triikka, Consultant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

% **29.01.2015**

Mr Sachin Datta, the learned counsel, appearing on behalf of respondent No. 2 states that the successful bidder (who incidentally has not been made a party by the petitioner) has already completed the supplies of the CCTV cameras and installation is to commence tomorrow.

In these circumstances, we see no reason to continue with this writ petition as the supply has already been made. The contract was awarded as far back as on 02.01.2015 and the same was to be executed within 30 days. The petitioner was aware of this, although the learned counsel for the petitioner states that his knowledge dates back to 06.01.2015. Since the contract itself was to be completed within 30 days and the supplies have already been concluded, this writ petition has become infructuous in our view. We are

making it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the validity or otherwise of the rejection of the petitioner's bid due to the alleged non-compliance with the technical requirements / eligibility conditions.

With these observations, the writ petition is dismissed.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

JANUARY 29, 2015
SU