

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-236-2014 in
CWP-12293-2013
Date of Decision : 12.05.2015**

Darshan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

P.R.T.C. & others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for the review applicant-respondents.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the non-applicant-petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This review application has been filed primarily on the ground that writ petition was admitted straight away without issuing notice of motion on 03.05.2013 and was ordered to be heard along with CWP No.16515 of 1995. Within three months the main case came up for hearing and the name of the counsel for the applicant-respondents did not appear in the list and that is why none could appear on behalf of the applicant-respondents. In the reply this fact has not been denied.

In the circumstances the review application is allowed. The judgment and order in so far as it relates to CWP No.12293 of 2013 is recalled and the petition is registered to its original number. It has been further agreed that the payments of CPF made to the petitioner would not by itself dis-entitle him from claiming pension if otherwise he is found entitled thereto.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

May 12, 2015
Pooja Sharma-I