

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

RA -CR-179-CII of 2015 in
FAO No.1034 of 2013
Date of decision: 17.12.2015

Shri Jagdamba Paper Mills Limited and another
.....Petitioners

versus

Employees State Insurance Corporation and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Rajesh Sethi, Mr.Arun Biriwal and
Mr.Sachin Gupta, Advocates for the applicants/appellants

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

By way of present application, the applicants/ petitioners seek review of the judgment dated 25.5.2015, whereby FAO No.1034 of 2013 was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicants and have also carefully gone through the file.

Learned counsel for the applicants contends that in the grounds of appeal and at the time of motion hearing, certain points were raised which have not been considered by this Court while passing the judgment dated 25.5.2015. It is argued that these are the pertinent questions which need to be decided in this case. Therefore, the judgment in question should be reviewed.

The perusal of the judgment shows that the same was dictated in the Court in the presence of counsel for the appellants. At the time of arguments, whatever arguments were raised were considered and the matter was decided and the arguments which were not raised though the same are in grounds of appeal are deemed to have been considered and decided. Thus, there is no error apparent on record.

The review application stands dismissed.

17.12.2015
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge