

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA NO. 314 of 2014 (O&M) in
CWP NO. 16248 of 1994**

Decided on: December 15, 2015

Raj Kumar

...Applicant

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present : Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. K. S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the applicant.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

The writ petition was disposed of on 24.04.2014 on the statement of learned counsel for the State that the petitioner has retired from service after attaining the age of superannuation in July, 1995. Hence, his claim does not survive any more.

Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner submitted that wrong statement was made by the State counsel as the petitioner is to retire after attaining the age of superannuation in April, 2021. Further avenues of promotion are available to the post of Deputy Director. The aforesaid fact is not disputed by learned State counsel.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the aforesaid factual matrix, the review application is allowed and the order dated 24.04.2014 passed by this court is recalled. The writ petition is restored to its original number.

The writ petition be listed as per roster in the regular list.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

DECEMBER 15, 2015
shalini