

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

R.A. No.469 of 2015 in
CWP No.21246 of 2015
Date of decision: December 02, 2015.

Gurdeep Singh Dhaliwal

... **Review-Petitioner**

v.

Union of India and others

... **Respondents**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA**
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG

Present: Shri M.L. Saggar, Sr. Advocate with
Shri Gaurav Grover, Advocate for the review-petitioner.

Hemant Gupta, J. (oral)

Under the garb of review, learned Counsel for the petitioner wishes to re-argue the matter. We do not find any error apparent on record, which may warrant any consideration of the present application for review.

Dismissed.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner, however, has pointed out that there is a mistake in the order in respect of difference between the two sub-milestones, which is mentioned as 100 meters. It is contended that it is in fact 200 meters. In view thereof, the order dated 2.11.2015 in respect of difference between two sub-milestones is ordered to be corrected to read as 200 meters.

[**Hemant Gupta**]
Judge

[**Raj Rahul Garg**]
Judge

December 02, 2015.