

**137 RA No.401 of 2015 in
CWP No. 4958 of 2011**

Hostel Mess Workers Union Vs. The Presiding Officer & ors.

Present: Mr. AS Virk, Advocate,
for the applicant/respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. RK Malik, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioner.

By consent of learned counsel for the parties, the order dated 24.8.2015 is recalled.

Heard.

Mr. A.S. Virk appears for the Kurukshetra University. After rehearing the matter, I have found no reason to change findings in the order and the conclusion will remain the same. Para.15 shall stand modified accordingly. Non-appearance of Mr. Virk recorded in the para was an inadvertent mistake. Mr. Malik, learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that that Mr. Virk was indeed present in Court and was heard, but inadvertently there was a misconception and that is why non-presence of Mr. Virk has been recorded in para.15. Para.15 would henceforth read as follows:-

“I have considered the arguments of Mr. Malik, learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Virk, for the Kurukshetra University.”

Copy of the order be re-issued to the parties after carrying out the correction and substitution of para.15 as above and the presence of Mr. Virk for the Kurukshetra University be recorded on the first page of the order. Para.15 shall be replaced accordingly.

The application stands disposed of.

1.10.2015

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**