

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**VAT Appeal No.108 of 2014
Date of Order: 23.02.2015**

M/s Jai Ambey Polybag Anaj Mandi, Rohtak

..Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

**Present: Mr. Saurabh Gulia, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajiv Agnihotri, Advocate,
for the appellant.**

Ms. Mamta Singal Talwar, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J (Oral)

The appellant challenges order dated 18.02.2014 (Annexure A-5), passed by the Haryana VAT Tribunal.

Counsel for the parties agree that the controversy in the present appeal is covered in favour of the assessee, by judgment of a Division Bench passed in VAT Appeal No.134 of 2013 (**M/s A.R.Plastic Pvt. Ltd. v. State of Haryana and others**).

In view of the statement made by counsel for the parties, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal is allowed in terms of judgment passed in VAT Appeal No.134 of 2013 (**M/s A.R.Plastic Pvt. Ltd. v. State of Haryana and others**).

**(RAJIVE BHGALLA)
JUDGE**

**February 23, 2015
nt**

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**