

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.90 of 2014 (O&M).
Decided on:-09.03.2015.

Anju Bala.Petitioner.

Versus

Neeraj Kumar.Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON.

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J (Oral)

Reply on behalf of the respondent has not been filed even today though counsel for the respondent had sought adjournment on the previous date of hearing i.e. 28.10.2014 for this purpose. Request for adjournment for filing reply, thus, is declined.

2. Counsel for the wife, petitioner herein, seeks transfer of petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short, the Act) filed by the husband, respondent herein, from Pathankot to Jalandhar as the petitioner is residing with her parents and two minor children aged about 11 and 6 years respectively at Jalandhar which is approximately 120 Kms away from Pathankot.

3. It is also urged that the petitioner-wife has also filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. against the respondent-husband, which is pending adjudication at Jalandhar. Besides this, she has also filed a petition under

Section 12 of the Protection of Women from the Domestic Violence Act, 2005 at Jalandhar.

4. In view of the submissions made by counsel for the parties as also the circumstances explained in the petition, the request is allowed.

5. Sequelly, the petition under Section 9 of the Act pending before Additional District Judge, Pathankot is transferred to District Judge, Jalandhar. Both the concerned District Judges to comply.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

7. Copy Dasti on usual charges.

(Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
Judge

March 09, 2015
prince rana