

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**TA No.748 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 08, 2015**

Komal and another

.... Applicants

vs.

Mrs. B. Rai

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the respondent.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The tenants-applicants have filed the present application for transfer of the petition filed under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 (in short 'the Act') pending in Ludhiana Court to Chandigarh Court.

It is stated that Mr. Harish Rai Dhanda, Advocate who was Ex. Chief Parliamentary Secretary is the Special Power of Attorney of the landlord and no advocate is ready to put in appearance to oppose him.

It is not disputed that in this case landlord has concluded his evidence on 18.11.2014 and now the case is fixed for the evidence of the tenants. Both the parties belong to Ludhiana and the

property is also situated at Ludhiana. Ludhiana has got a big Bar and it is not possible that there is no Advocate to oppose Mr. Harish Rai Dhanda, Advocate, who is presently not holding any office in the Bar or in the Govt.

It being so, I find no ground to transfer the petition under Section 13 of the Act pending in the Court of Ms. Harpreet Kaur Nafra, Rent Controller from Ludhiana Court to any other Court at Chandigarh.

Accordingly, the present application is dismissed.

April 08, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE