

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.693 of 2014

Date of decision: January 08, 2015.

Simranjit Kaur

... **Petitioner**

v.

Amarjit Kaur etc.

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON**

Present: Shri G.S. Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the petitioner claims that pendency of the petition before the MACT, Bathinda is causing great prejudice as she is not able to prosecute her cause competently and effectively because it is very difficult for her to prosecute the same as she has a breast-feeding child of three months. It is urged that yet another petition arising out of the same accident is pending at Ferozepur which has been instituted by her at Ferozepur.

No notice is being issued to the respondents in order to obviate delay, more so when no prejudice is likely to be caused to the respondents in view of the nature of order which this Court proposes to pass.

In view of the circumstances when another petition is already pending at Ferozepur, there should not be any reason for continuance of the present claim petition at Bathinda. Consequently, this petition is allowed and the claim petition pending before the MACT, Bathinda is ordered to be transferred to the court of competent jurisdiction at Ferozepur. District & Sessions Judge, Bathinda to do the needful at the earliest.

[**Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon**]
Judge

January 08, 2015.