

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 626 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 22.4.2015

Bhawana Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Manjeet Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Satyawan Ahlawat, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Both the parties heard.

It is stated that three cases of criminal nature filed by the petitioner are pending at Rohtak Court, whereas now the husband (respondent herein) has filed a petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, before Panipat Court.

Since the husband is already putting in appearance before the Rohtak Court and the wife is facing difficulty due to crunch of sources and the presence of the husband in the civil case is not required on each and every date, therefore, divorce petition titled as Manjeet Singh Versus Bhawna Devi under Section 13 of the

TA No. 626 of 2014 (O/M)

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.04.27 14:59
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of Shri Parveen Gupta, learned Additional District Judge, Panipat, is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to the Court of the learned District Judge, Rohtak, who may retain the file with himself or transfer the same to some other competent Court of learned Additional District Judge, Rohtak.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.4.2015
sjks