

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

T.A. No.622 of 2014
Date of decision: 24.4.2015

Priyanka

... Petitioner

Versus

Lovekesh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.J.S.Mehndiratta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Written statement has been filed today in Court which is taken
on record.

Perused. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The husband has filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu
Marriage Act, 1955 for restitution of conjugal rights at Karnal while the
wife resides in a village near Yamunanagar. She prays that the petition be
transferred to Yamunanagar as it is inconvenient for her to travel to Karnal
and to do acts and things in defence of her rights and counter claims, if any.

Mr.Mehndiratta submits that the husband is facing 3 litigations
in the Sessions Divisions, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri which would entail the

respondent to attend to those cases at Yamunanagar and, therefore, transferring the petition from Karnal to Yamunanagar will not cause the husband any further inconvenience or substantial injury. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate that the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 deserves to be transferred from the Board of the Matrimonial Court at Karnal and placed before the Matrimonial Court at Yamunanagar at Jagadhri and it is so ordered.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and directions are issued as above. Parties to appear in person or through counsel on 20.5.2015.

A request is made to the Sessions Judge at Yamunanagar to make an endeavour to list the four litigations, as far as possible, on a single day but in the respective Courts, atleast during the initial stages of those proceedings.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

April 24, 2015
Paritosh Kumar