

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-RS-85-C of 2015

Date of Decision: November 03, 2015

Niranjan Singh

.... Applicant

vs.

Sukhwant Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate for the applicant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The present application has been filed for reviewing the judgment dated 22.09.2015 passed by this Court in RSA No.3851 of 2012, vide which the regular second appeal of the present applicant was dismissed.

It is mentioned that this Court has observed that the possession of respondent-plaintiff is permissible being grand son of Tehal Singh son of Sulakhan Singh, the original allottee of the land in question.

It is contended that the said Tehal Singh was some other Tehal Singh. Therefore, there is an error apparent on the record.

A perusal of the original judgment shows that the reference was made to the revenue record to conclude that the plaintiff was recorded to be the grand son of Tehal Singh son of

Sulakhan Singh. The observations were based on the revenue record. Therefore, there is no error apparent on the record.

Hence, the present review application stands dismissed.

November 03, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE