

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.55 of 2014
Date of Decision.24.04.2015**

SunainaPetitioner

Versus

Saurav ChopraRespondent

Present: Mr. Ashwani Kumar Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the transfer application has become infructuous and seeks for disposal of the same as such.

2. The transfer application is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**April 24, 2015
Pankaj***