

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**TA No.483 of 2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: 5.05.2015**

Jasmeet Kaur

... Appellant

Versus

Taru Bagga

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. HS Kathpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

No one appears on behalf of the respondent/husband. The respondent has filed a petition under Section 9 for restitution of conjugal rights against his wife before the Matrimonial Court at Barnala. The petitioner/wife while residing in Ludhiana, where she works as a Customer Care Assistant in the State Bank of India and looks after the couple's minor 1½ years old child, then for her to defend proceedings in Barnala would occasion hardship. If the respondent/husband wants restitution of conjugal rights, it would eminently fit that he makes a request to the matrimonial Court, where the wife lives. Therefore, this petition is allowed. The petition under Section 9 is ordered to be transferred from Barnala to Ludhiana. Parties to appear on the date fixed by the Ludhiana Matrimonial Court after the file is received by the transferee Court.

05.05.2015
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE