

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Transfer Application No.471 of 2014

Date of decision: 07.04.2015

Ashu

... Petitioner

versus

Dr. Suraj Parkash Sharma

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The petition for transfer is sought by the wife in a petition for annulment of marriage filed at the instance of the husband. The ground made is that she has 1 year old child and it will be difficult for her to travel the distance of 100 kilometers. The husband is 71 years old and he claimed to have married the young petitioner under the circumstances which are vitiated. I do not think it is a case fit for transfer and I dismiss the petition. The petitioner will have the benefit of claiming transport expenses of ₹500/- at every date of hearing when she attends the court. The trial Court will ensure that the transport expenses is paid to her at every hearing when she shows up in court and will not proceed with the trial or

call upon the petitioner to file the pleadings or participate in the trial without ensuring that the transport expenses are met with. This shall be independent of any other claim for maintenance that the petitioner shall be at liberty to claim from the respondent.

2. The transfer application is disposed of with the above directions.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

07.04.2015
sanjeev