

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.433 of 2014
Date of Decision.27.04.2015**

InduPetitioner

Versus

Ketan MongaRespondent

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shubhankar Baweja, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent says that he is withdrawing the main petition itself which is pending before the Court at Kurukshetra. The said statement is recorded.

2. The transfer application is disposed of as having become infructuous. If the respondent does not act as per the undertaking given, the petitioner is at liberty to approach this Court for suitable directions.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**April 27, 2015
Pankaj***