

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.402 of 2014
Decided on:-19.03.2015.

Reena Devi

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Jagtar

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON.

Present:- Mr. Bhavyadeep Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J (Oral)

Reply on behalf of the respondent has not been filed even today though counsel for the respondent had sought adjournment on the previous date of hearing i.e. 20.02.2015 for this purpose. Request for adjournment for filing reply, thus, is declined.

Counsel for the petitioner seeks transfer of the petitions under Sections 9 and 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short "the Act") filed by the respondent-husband from Chandigarh to Patiala as the petitioner is presently residing at Anand Colony, Rajpura Town, District Patiala with Sh. Nahant Dass, uncle.

It is urged that the petitioner-wife has filed a petition under

Section 128 Cr.P.C. against the respondent-husband. Besides this, she has also filed a complaint under Section 406, 498A and 323 IPC against the respondent and her in-laws in the court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rajpura on 26.02.2013 with an application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. For directing the local police to register a criminal case on the basis of the said complaint.

In view of the submissions made by counsel for the parties as also the circumstances explained, the request is allowed.

Sequelly, the petitions under Sections 9 & 13 of the Act pending before Civil Judge (Junior Division), Chandigarh and Additional District Judge, Chandigarh respectively is transferred to District Judge, Patiala, who may assign these to the court of competent jurisdiction. Both the concerned District Judges to comply.

The petition stands allowed.

Parties to appear before the court below on 23.04.2015.

Copy Dasti on usual charges.

(Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
Judge

March 19, 2015
prince rana