

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.376 of 2014
Decided on:-19.03.2015.

Anju

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Ankit Sharma

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON.

Present:- Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J (Oral)

Reply on behalf of the respondent has not been filed even today though counsel for the respondent had sought adjournment on the previous date of hearing i.e. 20.02.2015 for this purpose. Request for adjournment for filing reply, thus, is declined.

Counsel for the petitioner seeks transfer of the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short “the Act”) filed by the respondent-husband for dissolution of marriage from Panipat to Rohtak.

It is urged that the petitioner-wife has filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. against the respondent-husband. It is also urged that it is very difficult for petitioner to travel 110 kilometers from Rohtak to Panipat on each and every date of hearing.

In view of the submissions made by Counsel for the parties as also the circumstances explained, the request is allowed.

Sequelly, the petition under Section 13 of the Act pending before District Judge, Panipat is transferred to District Judge, Rohtak who may assign it to the court of competent jurisdiction. Both the concerned District Judges to comply.

The petition stands allowed.

Parties to appear before the court below on 23.04.2015.

Copy Dasti on usual charges.

(Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
Judge

March 19, 2015
prince rana