

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.367 of 2014 (O&M).
Decided on:-10.2.2015.

Ranjit Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Harjit Singh.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON.

Present:- Mr. R.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sapna Bali, Advocate
for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J (Oral)

Reply on behalf of the respondent has not been filed even today though counsel for the respondent had sought adjournment on the previous date of hearing i.e. 23.1.2015 for this purpose. Request for adjournment for filing reply, thus, is declined.

2. Counsel for the wife, petitioner herein, seeks transfer of petition under Section 25 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890 (for short, the Act) filed by the husband, respondent herein, from Ludhiana to Rajpura, District Patiala as the petitioner is residing with her parents at Rajpura, District Patiala.

3. It is also urged that the petitioner-wife has filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. against the respondent-husband, which is pending adjudication in the court at Rajpura. Besides this, the petitioner-wife has also

made a complaint, interalia, under Section 498-A IPC at Rajpura against the respondent-husband and his family members.

4. In view of the submissions made by counsel for the parties as also the circumstances explained in the petition, the request is allowed.

5. Sequelly, the petition under Section 25 of the Act pending before District Judge, Ludhiana is transferred to District Judge, Patiala, who may further transfer the same to the court of competent jurisdiction at Rajpura. Both the concerned District Judges to comply.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

7. Copy Dasti on usual charges.

(Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
Judge

February 10, 2015

'Yag Dutt'