

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Transfer Application No.353 of 2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: April 20, 2015**

Kiran Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Kathuria, Advocate, for
Mr.Rakesh Nehra, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that during pendency of the present petition, the parties to the lis have resolved their all disputes and effected a compromise. In pursuance of the said compromise, a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act and a petition under Section 482, Cr.P.C., for quashing of the criminal litigation have been filed and as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

April 20, 2015
seema

(Naresh Kumar Sanghi)
Judge

