

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.325 of 2014
Date of decision: 1.5.2015**

Reena Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.J.S.Rozera, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-husband despite notice and due service upon him duly supported by the office note dated 3.12.2014. The respondent is proceeded *ex parte*. Applying the principle of non-traverse and no opposition and the fact that one of the two proceedings at Panipat between the parties has been transferred from Kaithal to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Panipat in transfer application No.335 of 2014 decided on January, 16, 2015, Reena Devi v. Sandeep Kumar, I see no reason why the present proceedings under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 should also not be transferred in the same fashion from Kaithal to Panipat in furtherance of the directions of the Coordinate Bench aforesaid. Consequently, this petition is allowed in the above terms.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

May 1, 2015

Paritosh Kumar