

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.226 of 2014
Date of Decision.05.05.2015**

Sangeeta KaliaPetitioner

Versus

Vinod KumarRespondent

Present: Mr. P.K. Longia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Chaman Lal Panwar, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent says that he is withdrawing the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act and therefore, there would not be any requirement for transfer. The statement is taken on record.

2. The transfer application is dismissed as having become infructuous and the trial Court shall act on the submission made before this Court and will not allow for prosecution of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**May 05, 2015
Pankaj***