

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

TA-17-2014

Date of Decision: July 16, 2015

Monika

...Petitioner

Versus

Shiv Bathla

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the respondent has effected a compromise with the petitioner, but as per the terms and conditions of the compromise the petitioner-husband has not yet withdrawn the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. He submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition, if need be.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

July 16, 2015
Pkapoor

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE