IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Incomplete Case No.1200 of 2015
Date of decision: 19.09.2015

The Authorized Officer of State Bank of India and another

…Petitioners

Versus

Sarupinder Pal

…Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: None.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The present Caveat Application was filed on 11.10.2012 by Sh. Atul Sharma, Advocate, in Civil Writ Petition, vide Diary No.899962. The Registry had raised objection in the Caveat Application on 12.10.2012.

As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list on 13.8.2015, whereby the advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections, which were filed upto 30.06.2014 for re-filing after removal of objections. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order on 19.09.2015.

Sub Section 5 of Section 148-A, Code of Civil Procedure provides that Caveat shall not remain in force after the expiry of 90 days from the date on which it was lodged.

The case was shown in the cause list for 19.9.2015. No one was present.

Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

19.09.2015 **(Rajesh Bindal)**
geeta **Judge**

GEETA RANI
2016.02.05 14:20
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document