

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.139 of 2014 (O&M).
Decided on:- 21.05.2015.

Binderpal Kaur @ Karamjit Kaur

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Pinder Singh

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON.

Present:- Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bindu Goyal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J (Oral)

Reply on behalf of the respondent has not been filed even today though counsel for the respondent had sought adjournment on the previous date of hearing i.e. 30.04.2015 for this purpose. Request for adjournment for filing reply, thus, is declined.

In this petition, petitioner seeks transfer of petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short, the Act) filed by the husband, respondent herein, from Faridkot to Phul, District Bathinda as the petitioner is residing with her parents and her minor son at Chaunke Tehsil Phul, District Bathinda which is approximately 80 Kms away from Faridkot.

It is also urged that the petitioner-wife has also filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. against the respondent-husband, which is pending adjudication in the court at Bathinda. Besides this, she has also filed a

criminal complaint under Section 498-A, 406, 306, 506, 149 IPC at Bathinda.

In view of the submissions made by counsel for the parties as also the circumstances explained in the petition, the request is allowed.

Sequelly, the petition under Section 9 of the Act pending before Civil Judge (Senior Division), Faridkot is transferred to District Judge, Bathinda who may assign it to the court of competent jurisdiction. Both the concerned District Judges to comply.

Disposed of accordingly.

Copy Dasti on usual charges.

(Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
Judge

May 21, 2015

prince rana