

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

T.A. No.110 of 2014

Date of Decision.30.03.2015

Manpreet Kaur	Versus	Petitioner
Rupinder Singh		Respondent

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The counsel appearing for the respondent husband says that he is proposing to have the application for restitution of conjugal rights filed under the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act dismissed as withdrawn.
2. Having regard to the statement made, there is no requirement for transfer. The transfer application is disposed of and the respondent will be barred from prosecuting the case for restitution of conjugal rights. The statement of counsel is done at the instruction of the party who is present in Court and this order is directed to be communicated to the Court before which Section 9 petition is pending so that it shall not proceed to take up the case.
3. The transfer application is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2015