

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Incomplete Case No. 838 of 2015

Date of decision: 19.09.2015

Rajiv Setia

...Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: None.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The aforesaid Civil Writ Petition was filed on 24.07.2012 by Sh. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, vide Diary No. 858939. The Registry had raised objection on the case file on 25.07.2012.

As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list on 13.8.2015, whereby the advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections, which were filed upto 30.06.2014 for re-filing after removal of objections. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order on 19.09.2015.

The case was shown in the cause list for 19.9.2015. No one was present.

Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

19.09.2015
geeta

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge