

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Regular Second Appeal No.6469 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.08.2015

Chandgi

... Appellant

versus

Maru Ram and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

In a suit brought by the plaintiff to declare that a Will executed by the mother on 10.06.1999 was not true, the defence by the brother was that the property belonged to mother admittedly and she had survived for fairly a long period of 19 years after the execution of the Will. The defendant sought to prove the Will by examining the witnesses and the two courts below have found that the Will had been properly established and there was nothing artificial or suspicious about the manner of disposition. The courts have examined every issue regarding mental capacity and the nature of disposition to dispel suspicion and the valid attestation. I find no

substantial question of law as involving in this case for intervention.

The second appeal is dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

11.08.2015
sanjeev