

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
120

RSA No. 6005 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.10.2015

Sukhmander Singh and another

....APPELLANTS

VERSUS

Sukhwinder Singh etc.

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. C.M.Munjal, Advocate,
for the appellants.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellants had argued that the suit, which was preferred by the appellants-plaintiffs, has been partly decreed as regards the land measuring 3 Kanals 16 Marlas (as is described in the head note of the plaint) and the dispossession of the appellants-plaintiffs illegally and forcibly except in due course of law has been directed. Qua the remaining land of 4 Marlas out of the total land of 4 Kanals, he contends that from the perusal of the Jamabandi for the year 2007-2008 and the Girdawari for the year 2001-2002 till 2008, there is no passage shown. However, it appears that when the 2008-2009 Jamabandi was being recorded, some mistake has crept in where 4 Marlas passage has been shown, which, if seen in comparison to the earlier Jamabandi, it appears that the same was in an adjacent land. He prays that he would avail of the remedy of getting the said Jamabandi corrected in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present appeal stands disposed of as per the statement given by the learned counsel for the appellants.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

October 06, 2015

pi