

R.S.A. NO.5958 OF 2014 (O&M)

:{ 1 }:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. NOS.14147 of 2014, 14220, 14201 AND 14202 OF 2015 &
R.S.A. NO.5958 OF 2014**

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 19, 2015

Ajit Kumar and another

.....Appellants

VERSUS

Joginder Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr. S. K. Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellants.**

**Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.14220 C of 2015

Prayer in this application is for preponment of the hearing of the appeal, the matter being settled between the parties by compromise deed dated 06.11.2015, Annexure A-1.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit of appellant No.1, Ajit Kumar, the application is allowed and with the consent of the counsel for the parties, the hearing of the main appeal is preponed to today and the same is taken on the board.

Application stands disposed of.

Notice of the applications to the counsel opposite.

Mr. S.K.Sharma, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/appellants and states that he has no objection to the prayer made in the applications, whereby the respondent-plaintiffs have moved separate applications for withdrawal of the suits, which were decreed in their favour in the light of the compromise dated 06.11.2015, copy of which have been annexed with these applications as Annexures R1/1 and R2/1 respectively.

In the light of the compromise dated 06.11.2015 (Annexures R-1/1 and R2/1) entered into between the parties, prayer made in the applications is allowed.

The suit of the respondent-plaintiffs is permitted to be withdrawn and, thus, the judgement and decree dated 21.11.2011 passed by Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Panchkula and the judgement and decree passed by learned Additional District Judge, Panchkula, dated 09.07.2014, affirming the trial Court judgement are set-aside. The parties would be bound by the terms and conditions of the compromise dated 06.11.2015.

The appeal accordingly stands disposed of.

Since the main appeal stands disposed of with the consent of the parties on the basis of compromise, no orders are required to be passed on C.M. No.14147 C of 2014, seeking interim stay.

November 19, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE